



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/608,649 02/29/96 VOGEL

R

EXAMINER

HGIA, S

26M1/0821

THOMAS H CLOSE
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
PATENT LEGAL STAFF
ROCHESTER NY 14650-2201

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2602

3

DATE MAILED:

08/21/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

- ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-949.
- ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6-20-96 *has been forwarded to Draftsperson for review.* is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
- ☐ received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 2

PTO-948

Art Unit: 2602

1. To ensure proper consideration, applicant should provide the examiner with a copy of the references cited in the specification (page 2 lines 1-4, page 3 lines 1 and 2, page 13 lines 1-3 and page 14 lines 14-19).

2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 line 13, "the image light" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 1 lines 18 and 21, claim 10 line 6, claim 14 line 7, claim 16 lines 22 and 25, claim 21 lines 25 and 28, claim 23 lines 29 and 32, and claim 27 lines 29 and 32, it is unclear what "this" is referred to. "this" should be replaced by its corresponding element.

In claim 5 line 8, claim 25 line 14 and claim 26 line 18, "the intended display device" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 23 line 38, "the color image signal" lack proper antecedent basis.

In claim 25 lines 21 and 22, "the matrix factors", "the memory" and "the optimized color signal" lack proper antecedent basis.

In claim 27 line 39, "the color image signal" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2602

3. Claims 1, 2, 14, 16, 23, 25, 26 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 8, claim 16 line 8, claim 23 line 13, claim 25 line 7 and claim 27 line 13, "a" should be --the--.

In claim 2 line 3 and claim 14 line 3, after "directing", --the-- should be inserted.

In claim 26 line 5, after "color", --image-- should be inserted.

Appropriate correction is required.


4. Claims 1-28 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Hsia, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4738. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Peng, can be reached on (703) 305-4702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9509.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SH
August 19, 1996


SHERRIE HSIA
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2600